

The Church in Central and Southern Indiana

Office of Catholic Education

1400 N. Meridian Street, Indianapolis, IN 46202-2367 P.O. Box 1410, Indianapolis, IN 46206-1410 317-236-1430 800-382-9836 ext. 1430 Fax: 317-261-3364 E-mail: indyoce@archindy.org Website: www.archindy.org

November 16, 2001

Dr. Greg Ulm State Director NCA, Indiana State University School of Education Terre Haute, IN 47809

Dear Dr. Ulm:

Attached is the report and rubrics from by first Peer Review Chair visit to the Indiana Deaf School. I believe that they are making good progress in the development of their school improvement plan. The next step will be to conduct our first team visit. We will select two to three visitation team members for that visit which should take place either in January or February of 2002.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Ronald W. Costello, Ed.D.

Associate Director of Schools

Attachments

cc: Mr. Robert Kovatch Principal

		_		
4	1.	. '	`	
•	1	`		•
`	٠,	У	۲,	4
	`		_	

Report: Peer Review Chairperson Contact Visit (Required)

Note: The forms contained here are generic. Please check the state specific section in this software to see if your state has specific forms that are to be used. USE THE TAB KEY TO MOVE FROM FIELD TO FIELD.

Date of Contact/Visit: 10/19/2001 Length of Contact/Visit: 1/2 Day
Name: Ronald W. Costello Phone: 317-236-1486
Position: Associate Director of Schools Fax: 317-261-3364
School or Organization: Archdiocese of Indianapolis
Address: 1400 North Meridian Street
City: Indianapolis ST: IN ZIP (+4): 46206 - 1410
E-Mail: rcostello@archindy.org
School Name: Indiana School for the Deaf Phone: 317-924-4374
Address: 1200 East 42nd Street
City: Indianapolis ST: IN ZIP (+4) 46205 -
Principal: Robert Kovatch
E-Mail: Bkovatch@isfd.state.in.us
School's Web Address: www.deafhoosiers.com/isd/
Persons Involved in This Contact/Meeting:
1. Gary Mowl Position Chair, Improvement Committee
2. Position
3. Position
4. Position

Objectives of this contact/visit:

- 1. Consult with the school leadership team about the school improvement process.
- 2. Provide initial review of the goals selected by the school.
- 3. Schedule the first peer review team visit.
- 4. Help the school personnel to understand the peer review process.
- 5. Remind the school to complete the School Capacities Assessment Instrument.

Section One: Criteria and Rubrics

If not already completed, please complete the following criteria and rubrics during your contact/visit. The appropriate evaluation pages will print out when you print this report.

	ion and School Improvement

Note: If the school has Non-Student Performance Goals please also complete that criteria and rubric. Optional criteria and rubric for this contact/visit: System Support. Profile Mission Goals Section Two: Goal Statements and Supporting Data Please list each goal the school has selected and the supporting data for its selection All students will improve their communication skills (i.e. reading, Goal #1: writing and grammar) across the curriculum. Page in Profile: Supporting Data: 15 & 33,38,40 Reading comprehension 15 & 33,38,40 Writing skills 15 & 33,38,40 English/grammar skills All students will demonstrate an improved ability to apply critical Goal #2: thinking skills in all areas of mathematical problem solving. Page in Profile: Supporting Data: 15 & 33,39,40 Critical thinking skills 15 & 33,39,40 Vocabulary and language in mathematical problems Applying mathematical concepts and processes 15 & 33,39,40 Goal #3: Page in Profile: Supporting Data: Goal #4: Page in Profile: Supporting Data:

North Central Association Commission on Accreditation and School Improvement Goal #5: Page in Profile: Supporting Data: Note: If additional goals have been selected please attach an addendum with the same information that is requrested on the goals listed above. Section Three: Summary and Recommendations Brief Summary of Contact/Visit: I have attached copies of the Mission, Profile and Performance Goals Rubrics. The Mission, Profile, and Goals Rubrics are at the acceptable level. I appreciate your preparation that was every evident by the School Profile document.

Commendations:

I would like to commend the work done by the Indiana School for the Deaf in the development of a very complete and comprehensive School Profile. The work you did on the Profile will provide excellent documentation for your school improvement efforts. There are some details around the goals that we need to clarify as we move forward.

Ronald W. Costello
Peer Review Chairperson

November 16,2001

The following items will be printed and should be submitted to the NCA state office by the Peer Review Chairperson.

- 1. This Peer Review Chairperson Report.
- 2. The rubrics on the school's profile, mission, and goals.

3

Rubrics: Profile

p.1

Indiana School for the Deaf

	Enter	Score
Criterion		
The profil	e is of high quality.	5
points	The profile is complete, well organized (table of contents, etc.), clear, and concise.	
3 points	The profile does not meet all of the criteria above.	
0 points	The profile does not meet any of the criteria above.	
Criterion	<u>b</u>	_
Sufficient	data have been collected.	5_
5 points	The school collected data from at least three sources.	
3 points	The school collected data from two sources.	
l point	The school collected data from one source.	
0 points	The school collected no academic performance data.	
Criterion	1 C	
	iate data have been collected.	5
5 points	The school collected data using both standardized (common metric) assessments a	
2 points	The school collected data using only one type of assessment (standardized or loca developed).	lly
0 points	The school collected no academic performance data.	
However	A recognizes that in some goal areas, limited standardized assessments are available , for a school to earn more than 2 points, it must demonstrate that a thorough search ardized assessments has been conducted.	•
Criterio		
Sufficier	nt data have been collected for school data: students.	4
2 points	The school has collected comprehensive data about student performance. The school has collected limited data about student performance. The school has collected no data about student performance.	
Criterio		
	nt data have been collected for school data: instructional.	4
Juincie	The school has evidence about the instructional practices in all areas.	
4 points	The school has evidence about the instructional practices in some areas.	
2 points	The school has not collected evidence about instructional practices.	
o points	THE Serior has not concerns and	

Criterion	<u>f</u>	p. 2
Sufficien	t data have been collected for school data: community.	2
2 points	The school has collected comprehensive data about the community.	
1 point	The school has collected limited data about the community.	
0 points	The school collected no data about the community.	
Criterion	<u>g</u>	2
Sufficien	t data have been collected for unique local insights.	
2 points	The school collected data about student performance from all of the following sou students, parents, or faculty.	irces:
l point	The school collected data about student performance from one of these sources.	
0 points	The school did not collect student performance data from any of these sources.	
Criterior	<u>ı h</u>	
Sufficien	t data have been collected for information on former students.	2
3 points	The school collected thorough data about former students.	
2 points	The school collected some data about former students.	
0 points	The school did not collect data about former students.	
Criterio	<u>ı i</u>	
The data	have been disaggregated.	4
4 points	The profile includes at least three disaggregations.	
2 points	The profile includes two disaggregations.	
1 point	The profile includes one disaggregation.	
0 points	The profile includes no disaggregations.	
Criterio	<u>li</u>	
The colle identifie	ected data have been analyzed and implications of the data have been	8
8 points	The profile identifies the findings, analysis of the data, and a set of implications	
5 points	The profile identifies all but one of the components above.	
2 points	The profile identifies few of the components above.	
0 points	The profile does not identify any of the components above.	
Criterio	n <u>k</u>	
The data	a are presented in graphic, table, or chart form.	2
2 points	Clear, concise, and understandable graphs, tables and charts are provided in the p	rofile.
1 point	Graphs, tables and charts are provided but are not always clear, concise, and understandable.	
0 points	No graphs, tables, or charts are provided.	
	·	_

③

Profile Evaluation

Indiana School for the Deaf

_	4
	 OFIG
	 eria

	2	<u>riteria</u>
5	a.	The profile is of high quality. (5 points possible)
5	b.	Sufficient data have been collected. (5 points possible)
5	C.	Appropriate data have been collected. (5 points possible)
4	d.	Sufficient data have been collected for school data: students. (4 points possible)
4	e.	Sufficient data have been collected for school data: instructional. (4 points possible)
2	f.	Sufficient data have been collected for school data: community. (2 points possible)
	g.	Sufficient data have been collected for unique local insights. (2 points possible)
2	h.	Sufficient data have been collected for information on former students. (3 points possible)
4	i.	The data have been disaggregated. (4 points possible)
8	j.	The collected data has been analyzed and the implications of the data have been identified. (8 points possible)
2	k.	The data are presented in graphic, table, or chart form. (2 points possible)
		Total Points Possible: 44

Comments:

Your Profile is very complete and includes information from students, parents, staff and former graduates. I commend you on the job that has been done in developing a complete and comprehensive school profile.

43

Total Points Earned:



Rubrics: Mission Indiana School for the Deaf

p.1

Criterion	Ente	r Points
	ion clarifies the purpose of the school.	2
2 points 1 point	The mission clearly and concisely clarifies the purpose of the school. The mission suggests or alludes to the purpose of the school.	**************************************
0 points	The mission does not clarify the purpose of the school.	
Criterion		:
	e school community was appropriately involved in the development of large size.	2
2 points	Stakeholders representing all groups (faculty, parents, and students) were involve the development of the mission statement.	d in
1 point	Stakeholders from some groups were involved in the development of the mission statement.	
0 points	No stakeholders were involved in the development of the mission statement.	
Criterior The miss	ion statement identifies what is to be developed within students.	3
4 points	The mission statement identifies the knowledge, abilities, habits, and attitudes that	
3 points	are to be developed within students. The mission statement identifies some of the knowledge, abilities, habits, and	
•	attitudes that is to be developed within students.	140
2 points	The mission statement identifies at least one area of knowledge, abilities, habits, or attitudes that is to be developed within students.	
0 points	The mission statement does not identify anything to be developed within students	
Criterio		2
The miss	sion statement is consistent with and supportive of the district mission.	2
2 points	There is a direct relationship between the school mission statement and the district mission statement.	ct
1 point	There is an indirect relationship between the school mission statement and the district mission statement.	
0 points	There is little or no relationship between the school mission statement and the	

district mission statement.

Criterion e

The mission statement is used to guide decisions.

4

- 6 points Almost all decisions related to the school improvement plan are guided by the mission statement and some decisions about the school are guided by the mission.
- 4 points Almost all decisions related to the school improvement plan are guided by the mission statement.
- 3 points Most of the decisions related to the school improvement plan are guided by the mission statement.
- 2 points Some of the decisions related to the school improvement plan are guided by the mission statement.
- 1 point Almost none of the decisions related to the school improvement plan are guided by the mission statement.
- O points None of the decisions related to the school improvement plan are guided by the mission statement.

Criterion f

There was an examination of environmental scan data during development of the mission statement.

2

- 2 points The faculty examined many sources of environmental scan data.
 1 point The faculty examined a some sources of environmental scan data.
- 0 points The faculty did not examine environmental scan data.

Criterion g

The school staff is committed to the mission.

2

- 2 points All faculty members can articulate how the school mission is addressed in their classrooms.
- 1 point Some faculty members can articulate how the school mission is addressed in their classrooms.
- O points Only a few faculty members can articulate how the school mission is addressed in their classrooms.

Mission Evaluation

Indiana School for the Deaf

Criteria

2	a.	The mission clarifies the purpose of the school. (2 points possible)
2	b.	There was appropriate involvement of the entire school community in the development of the school mission. (2 points possible)
3	C.	The mission statement identifies what is to be developed within students. (4 points possible)
2	d.	The mission statement is consistent with and supportive of the district mission. (2 points possible)
4	e.	The mission statement is used to guide decisions. (6 points possible)
2	f.	There was an examination of environmental scan data during development of the mission statement. (2 points possible)
2	g.	The school staff is committed to the mission. (2 points possible)
		Total Points Possible: 20
		Total Points Earned: 17

Comments:

The school mission seems to clearly describe the purpose and commitment for the Indiana School for the Deaf. I would like to commend your efforts to have a broad base of involvement in the development of the mission including staff, parents, students, and community.

0 points

Rubrics: Student Performance Goals p. 1 Indiana School for the Deaf **Enter Points** 5 Criterion a The student performance goals are supported by an analysis of data from the profile. 5 points All goals are supported by an analysis of data from the profile. 2 points Some of the goals are supported by an analysis of data from the profile. 0 points None of the goals is supported by an analysis of data from the profile. Criterion b 2 The student performance goals are supportive of the mission and belief statements of the school. All of the student performance goals are supportive of the mission and belief 2 points statements of the school. Some of the student performance goals are supportive of the mission and belief 1 point statements of the school. None of the student performance goals are supportive of the mission and belief 0 points statements of the school Criterion c 4 The student performance goals are phrased in terms of student performance. 4 points All goals are written in terms of student performance. 2 points Some of the goals are written in terms of student performance. 0 points None of the goals are written in terms of student performance. Criterion d The student performance goals address all of the school's learners. All goals address the entire student body. 4 points 2 points Some goals address the entire student body. 0 points None of the goals address the entire student body. Criterion e 4 The student performance goals reflect an emphasis on student growth. 4 points All goals contain a growth verb or other indication of improved performance. 2 point Some goals contain a growth verb or other indication of improved performance.

None of the goals contain a growth verb or other indication of improved performance.

There is consensus on the essence of some of the goals.

There is no consensus on the essence of any of the goals.

1 point

0 points

Student Performance Goals Evaluation

Indiana School for the Deaf

Comments		Total Points Earned: 36
		the air states of a state of the
1	k.	There is consensus among the faculty on the essence of each of the goals. (3 points possible)
3	j.	The student performance goals focus on real-world applications. (3 points possible)
3	i.	The student performance goals focus upon higher levels of thinking. (3 points possible)
6	h.	The student performance goals are generic enough to be implemented schoolwide. (6 points possible)
2	g.	The student performance goals do not contain the anticipated increase in achievement or change in behavior. (2 points possible)
2	f.	The student performance goals do not contain interventions or assessments. (2 points possible)
4	e.	The student performance goals reflect an emphasis on student growth. (4 points possible)
4	d.	The student performance goals address all of the school's learners. (4 points possible)
4	C.	(4 points possible)
		statements of the school. (2 points possible) The student performance goals are phrased in terms of student performance.
2	b.	The student performance goals are supportive of the mission and belief
5	a.	The student performance goals are supported by an analysis of data from the profile. (5 points possible)

Both goals are written as student performance goals and have three data points with supporting documentation. This will make it possible for the staff to develop interventions and strategies for both goals.